

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,236	02/18/2004	Miles G. Canada	BUR920040014US1 2235	
30449	7590 01/21/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHMEISER, OLSEN + WATTS			LE, THONG QUOC	
3 LEAR JET : SUITE 201	LANE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LATHAM, N	IY 12110		2818	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/708,236	CANADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thong Q. Le	2818			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•	•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
••					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-20 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,8,10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-7 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/708,236 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

- This office acknowledges receipt of the following items from the Applicant:
 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 02/18/2004.
- 3. Information disclosed and list on PTO 1449 was considered.

Specification

4. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

- 5. Regarding claim 1, line 4, should be changed "anda read" to –and a read--.
- 6. Regarding claim 10, should be deleted "what is claimed is:".

Double Patenting

7. Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Regarding claim 10, this claim is copied from claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,236 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

Claim should be canceled or amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. Claims 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

9. Claim 11 provides for the use of a method, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Regarding claim 11, the claim should be canceled or amended for more clearly such as discussed above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

Application/Control Number: 10/708,236

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

11. Claims 1,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Palmer et al. (Pub. U.S. Patent No. 2004/0202014).

Regarding claims 1, 8, Palmer et al. disclose an electronic circuit (Figure 2), comprising a memory cell array (203) including a sense amplifier self-timed decode circuit (215) adapted to set a base read time delay of said memory cell array; and a read adjustment circuit (213) coupled to said memory cell array, said read delay adjustment circuit adapted to adjust said base read time delay of the memory array based on an operating frequency of the memory cell array (paragraphs [0017]-[0018], [0023],[0024]), and a microprocessor (Figure 5, 505), and the memory cell array is a cache memory coupled to the microprocessor ([0035]).

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 2-7,9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,236 Page 5

Art Unit: 2818

Claims 2-7,9 include allowable subject matter since the prior art made of record and considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure does not teach or suggest the claimed limitations. Palmer et al. (Pub. U.S. Patent No. 2004/0202014), and others, does not teach the claimed invention having a read margin adjustment circuit coupled to the sense amplifier delay circuit, and read margin adjustment circuit adapted to generated the margin select signal.

13. Claims 12-20 are allowed.

Claims 12-20 include allowable subject matter since the prior art made of record and considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure does not teach or suggest the claimed limitations. Palmer et al. (Pub. U.S. Patent No. 2004/0202014), and others, does not teach the claimed invention having a method for adjusting the read margin of a self-timed memory cell array as claims 12-20 disclose.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong Q. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1783. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,236

Art Unit: 2818

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thong Q. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 2818

THONG LE